## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

CHRISTOPHER CANTRELL, Individually and for Others Similarly Situated,

CASE NO. 4:17-CV-2679

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION

v.

LUTECH RESOURCES, INC.

#### **ORIGINAL COMPLAINT**

#### **SUMMARY**

- 1. Lutech Resources, Inc. (Lutech) did not pay Christopher Cantrell (Cantrell) overtime as required by federal law.
- 2. Instead, Lutech paid Cantrell, and other workers like him, at the same hourly rate for all hours worked, including those in excess of 40 in a workweek.
  - 3. Cantrell brings this collective action to recover unpaid overtime and other damages.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 4. Because this case raises a federal question under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), this Court has original subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 5. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 a significant portion of the facts giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this District.
- 6. For example, Lutech is hired Cantrell from its office in Houston, Texas, which is in this District and Division.
  - 7. Lutech also paid Cantrell from its office in this District and Division.
  - 8. Further, Lutech is headquartered in this District and Division.

#### THE PARTIES

- 9. Cantrell was an hourly employee of Lutech.
- 10. His written consent is attached.
- 11. Cantrell brings this collective action on behalf of all hourly employees of Lutech who were paid "straight time for overtime" during the past 3 years.
  - 12. Lutech is a company formed in Delaware, but headquartered in Houston, Texas.
  - 13. Lutech may be served with process by serving its registered agent.

### THE FACTS

- 14. Lutech "has over 25 years' experience providing tailor made recruitment and integrated resource management to leading Engineering, Resources, Energy and Manufacturing sector companies worldwide."
  - 15. In each of the past 3 years, Lutech's gross volume of sales done has exceeded \$500,000.
  - 16. Lutech operates in states across the country.
  - 17. Indeed, Lutech's "operations experience spans the globe."<sup>2</sup>
  - 18. Lutech performs substantial work in the Southern District of Texas.
  - 19. This business includes hiring and paying Cantrell for the work he performed.
  - 20. Cantrell started working for Lutech in early 2015.
  - 21. Cantrell stopped working for Lutech in early 2016.
  - 22. Lutech employed Cantrell as a "QC Inspector."
  - 23. Lutech paid Cantrell by the hour.
  - 24. Cantrell's starting "Straight-Time Hourly Rate" was \$50.
  - 25. Cantrell's "Overtime Hourly Rate" was also \$50.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> http://www.lutechresources.com/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> http://www.lutechresources.com/services

- 26. Cantrell reported the hours he worked to Lutech on a regular basis.
- 27. If Cantrell worked fewer than 40 hours in a week, Lutech only paid him for the hours he worked (plus any accrued PTO).
  - 28. But Cantrell normally worked more than 40 hours in a week.
  - 29. Cantrell regularly worked 55 hours a week or more.
  - 30. Because he was paid by the hour, Cantrell reported his work hours to Lutech.
  - 31. Lutech has accurate records of the hours Cantrell worked.
- 32. Thus, the hours Cantrell worked are plainly reflected in Lutech's records, including its payroll records.
- 33. Lutech paid Cantrell at the same hourly rate for all hours worked, including those in excess of 40 in a workweek.
- 34. Thus, rather than receiving time and half as required by the FLSA, Cantrell only received "straight time" pay for overtime hours worked.
  - 35. This "straight time for overtime" payment scheme violates the FLSA.
  - 36. Lutech was well aware of the overtime requirements of the FLSA.
- 37. The Department of Labor poster, which Lutech is required by law to display, makes it clear that overtime must be paid at "1½ times the regular rate of pay" rather than at the same hourly rate as non-overtime hours.



38. In addition, on information and belief, Lutech pays overtime to certain "in-house" hourly staff (such as secretaries and receptionists).

- 39. Further, Lutech's parent company has been investigated by the Department of Labor, giving it additional notice of the FLSA's overtime requirements.<sup>3</sup>
- 40. Despite knowing what the FLSA required, Lutech failed to pay certain hourly employees, such as Cantrell, overtime.
- 41. Lutech's failure to pay overtime to Cantrell, and the other workers like him, was, and is, a willful violation of the FLSA.

#### **COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

- 42. Lutech's illegal "straight time for overtime" policy extends well beyond Cantrell.
- 43. Lutech's "straight time for overtime" payment plan is the "policy that is alleged to violate the FLSA" in this FLSA collective action. *Bursell v. Tommy's Seafood Steakhouse*, No. CIV.A. H-06-0386, 2006 WL 3227334, at \*3 (S.D. Tex. Nov. 3, 2006).
  - 44. Lutech's paid dozens of workers according to the same unlawful scheme.
  - 45. Lutech has accurate records of the hours worked by its hourly workers.
  - 46. Lutech has accurate records of the wages paid to its hourly workers.
  - 47. Therefore,
- 48. Any differences in job duties do not detract from the fact that these hourly workers were entitled to overtime pay.
- 49. The workers impacted by Lutech's "straight time for overtime" scheme should be notified of this action and given the chance to join pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).
  - 50. Therefore, the class is properly defined as:

All hourly employees of Lutech who were paid "straight time for overtime" at any point in the past 3 years.<sup>4</sup>

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Lutech's parent, CB&I, is facing its own FLSA collective action in a related case. See Terry, et al. v. Chicago Bridge & Iron Company, et al., Case No. 4:17-cv-367 (S.D. Texas).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The class is further limited to workers covered by the FLSA. See 29 U.S.C. § 213(f).

#### **CAUSE OF ACTION**

- 51. By failing to pay Cantrell and those similarly situated to him overtime at one-and-one-half times their regular rates, Lutech violated the FLSA's overtime provisions.
- 52. Lutech owes Cantrell and those similarly situated to him the difference between the rate actually paid and the proper overtime rate.
- 53. Because Lutech knew, or showed reckless disregard for whether, its pay practices violated the FLSA, Lutech owes these wages for at least the past three years.
- 54. Lutech is liable to Cantrell and those similarly situated to him for an amount equal to all unpaid overtime wages as liquidated damages.
- 55. Cantrell and those similarly situated to him are entitled to recover all reasonable attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action.

#### PRAYER

Cantrell prays for relief as follows:

- 1. An order allowing this action to proceed as a collective action under the FLSA and directing notice to all hourly employees who received straight time for overtime;
- 2. Judgment awarding Cantrell and those similarly situated to him all unpaid overtime compensation, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees and costs under the FLSA;
- 3. An award of pre- and post-judgment interest on all amounts awarded at the highest rate allowable by law; and
- 4. All such other and further relief to which Cantrell and those similarly situated to him may show themselves to be justly entitled.

5

Respectfully submitted,

#### BRUCKNER BURCH PLLC

### /s/ Rex Burch

By: \_\_

Richard J. (Rex) Burch
Texas Bar No. 24001807
David I. Moulton
Texas Bar No. 24051093
8 Greenway Plaza, Suite 1500
Houston, Texas 77046
(713) 877-8788 – Telephone
(713) 877-8065 – Facsimile
rburch@brucknerburch.com

Michael A. Josephson Texas Bar No. 24014780 Andrew W. Dunlap Texas Bar No. 24078444

# **JOSEPHSON DUNLAP**

11 Greenway Plaza, Suite 3050 Houston, Texas 77046 Tel: (713) 352-1100 Fax: (713) 352-3300 mjosephson@mybackwages.com adunlap@mybackwages.com

# CONSENT TO JOIN WAGE CLAIM

Print	Name: Chris Cantrell
1.	I hereby consent to participate in a collective action lawsuit against Lutech Resources to pursue my claims of unpaid overtime during the time that I worked with the company.
2.	I understand that this lawsuit is brought under the Fair Labor Standards Act, and consent to be bound by the Court's decision.
3.	I designate the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP as my attorneys to prosecute my wage claims.
4.	I authorize the law firm and attorneys at JOSEPHSON DUNLAP to use this consent to file my claim in a separate lawsuit, class/collective action, or arbitration against the company.
Signa	ature: Chris Churtrell (July 28, 2017)  Date Signed: Aug 28, 2017